arXiv:1403.4038v1 [math.FA] 17 Mar 2014

ABSTRACT INTERPOLATION PROBLEM IN GENERALIZED SCHUR CLASSES.

D. BAIDIUK

Abstract. An indefinite variant of the abstract interpolation problem is considered. Associated to this problem is a model Pontryagin space isometric operator V. All the solutions of the problem are shown to be in a one-to-one correspondence with a subset of the set of all unitary extenions U of V. These unitary extension U of V are realized as unitary colligations with the indefinite de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ as a state space.

1. Introduction.

The abstract interpolation problem in the Schur class S have been posed and considered by V. Katsnelson, A. Khejfets and P. Yuditskij [18]. It contains the most classical interpolation problems such as the moment problem, the bitangential Schur-Nevanlinna-Pick problem and others (see [21], [19], [20] and [32]). The method of abstract interpolation problem contains and develops ideas of the V. P. Potapov's approach to interpolation problems [22], the theory of unitary colligation [10], and the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces [9]. In particular, the results of D. Z. Arov and L. Z. Grossman on scattering matrices of unitary operators [4] were used in order to describe the set of solutions of this problem. These results are closely related to the M.G. Kreĭn's theory of $\mathfrak{L}$-resolvent matrices for symmetric operators [23] and [25] (see also [31] and [15]).

The present paper deals with the indefinite abstract interpolation problem $AIP(\kappa)$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ in generalized Schur classes (see definition below). It is shown that this problem can be reduced to the extension problem for a model Pontryagin space isometric operator V, associated with the problem $AIP(\kappa)$. As distinct from the Hilbert space case the correspondence between minimal unitary extensions U of V and their scattering matrices does not give anymore a parametrization of the solution set of the problem $AIP(\kappa)$. The desired description is given in Section 5 by selecting of a subclass of the so-called $\mathfrak{L}$-regular unitary extensions of V. The unitary extension U of V is realized in the paper as a unitary colligation with the indefinite de Branges–Rovnyak space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ as a state space. The corresponding construction is very close to that given in [1] and [12]. The statement of the abstract interpolation problem in the present paper is different from the statement of this problem in [12]. The problem data in this paper contain two different operators M and N whereas in the paper [12] one of them equals an identity operator. The description of solutions of the Problem $AIP(\kappa)$ in the present formulation can be used for getting a description of the bitangential interpolation problem.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30E05; Secondary 47A48, 47B50, 46E22.

Key words and phrases. Interpolation, unitary colligation, Pontryagin space, reproducing kernel space, isometric operator.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. **Linear relations.** Let $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces. A linear manifold $T \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ is called a linear relation (shortly l.r.) in $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ (from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_2$). We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ ($\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H})$) the set of all closed linear relations in $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ (in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$). For a linear relation $T \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ we denote by $\operatorname{dom} T$, $\operatorname{ran} T$, $\ker T$ and $\operatorname{mul} T$ the domain, the range, the kernel and the multivalued part of T respectively.

If T is a linear relation in $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, then the inverse T^{-1} and adjoint T^* relations are defined as

$$T^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} f' \\ f \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} f \\ f' \end{bmatrix} \in T \right\},\ T^{-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$T^* = \begin{bmatrix} g \\ g' \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 : (f', g) = (f, g'), \begin{bmatrix} f \\ f' \end{bmatrix} \in T,\ T^* \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1).$$

A closed linear operator T from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_2$ is identified with its graph $\operatorname{gr} T \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$.

In the case $T \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ we write:
$0 \in \rho(T)$ if $\ker T = 0$ and $\operatorname{ran} T = \mathcal{H}_2$;
$0 \in \widehat{\rho}(T)$ if $\ker T = 0$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} T = \operatorname{ran} T \neq \mathcal{H}_2$;
$0 \in \sigma_c(T)$ if $\ker T = 0$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} T = \mathcal{H}_2 \neq \operatorname{ran} T$;
$0 \in \sigma_p(T)$ if $\ker T \neq 0$;
$0 \in \sigma_r(T)$ if $\ker T \neq 0$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} T \neq \mathcal{H}_2$.

For a l.r. $T \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote by $\rho(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \in \rho(T - \lambda)\}$ and $\widehat{\rho}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \in \widehat{\rho}(T - \lambda)\}$ the resolvent set and the set of regular type points of T respectively. Next, $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$ stands for the spectrum of T.

2.2. **Linear relations in Pontryagin spaces.** In this subsection we review some facts and notation from [5, 8]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $j_{\mathcal{H}}$ be a signature operator in this space, (i.e., $j_{\mathcal{H}} = j_{\mathcal{H}}^* = j_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}$). The space $\mathcal{H}$ can be considered as a Kreĭn space $(\mathcal{H}, j_{\mathcal{H}})$ (see [5]) with the inner product $[\varphi, \psi]_{\mathcal{H}} = (j_{\mathcal{H}}\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}$. The signature operator $j_{\mathcal{H}}$ can be represented as $j_{\mathcal{H}} = P_+ - P_-$, where P_+ and P_- are orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}$. In the case when $\dim P_- \mathcal{H} = \kappa < \infty$, the Kreĭn space $(\mathcal{H}, j_{\mathcal{H}})$ is called a Pontryagin space with the negative index κ and is denoted by $\operatorname{ind}_- \mathcal{H} = \kappa$.

Let us consider two Pontryagin spaces $(\mathcal{H}_1, j_{\mathcal{H}_1})$, $(\mathcal{H}_2, j_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ and a linear relation T from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_2$. Then an adjoint l.r. $T^{[*]}$ consists of pairs $\begin{bmatrix} g_2 \\ g_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_1$ such that

$$[f_2, g_2]_{\mathcal{H}_2} = [f_1, g_1]_{\mathcal{H}_1} \text{ for all } \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \in T.$$

If $T^* : \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_1$ is an adjoint linear relation of T in the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ then $T^{[*]} = j_{\mathcal{H}_1} T^* j_{\mathcal{H}_2}$.

The l.r. $T^{[*]}$ satisfies the following equations

$$(\operatorname{dom} T)^{[\perp]} = \operatorname{mul} T^{[*]}, \quad (\operatorname{ran} T)^{[\perp]} = \ker T^{[*]}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the sign $[\perp]$ denotes orthogonality in Pontryagin spaces.

Definition 2.1. *A l.r. T from a Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{H}_1, j_{\mathcal{H}_1})$ to a Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{H}_2, j_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ is called an isometry if the equality*

$$[\varphi', \varphi']_{\mathcal{H}_2} = [\varphi, \varphi]_{\mathcal{H}_1}, \tag{2.2}$$

holds for every $\begin{bmatrix}\varphi\\ \varphi'\end{bmatrix} \in T$ *and it is called a contraction if the sign in the* (2.2) *is substituted for* $\leq$. *A l.r.* T *is called a unitary l.r. from* $(\mathcal{H}_1, j_{\mathcal{H}_1})$ *to* $(\mathcal{H}_2, j_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ *if* $T^{-1} = T^{[*]}$. *Clearly, a l.r.* T *is an isometric l.r. if and only if* $T^{-1} \subset T^{[*]}$.

We recall ([5]) that the sets $\mathbb{D} \setminus \widehat{\rho}(T)$ and $\mathbb{D}_e \setminus \widehat{\rho}(T)$ for an isometric operator T in a Pontryagin space $\operatorname{ind}_- \mathcal{H} = \kappa$ consist of at most κ points belonging to $\sigma_p(T)$.

The definition of unitary l.r. at first was introduced in [30]. In particular, in [30] the following Proposition was proved

Proposition 2.2. *If* T *is a unitary relation from a Pontryagin space* $(\mathcal{H}_1, j_{\mathcal{H}_1})$ *to a Pontryagin space* $(\mathcal{H}_2, j_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ *then*

(1) $\operatorname{dom} T$ *is closed if and only if* $\operatorname{ran} T$ *is closed;*
(2) *the equalities* $\ker T = \operatorname{dom} T^{[\perp]}$, $\operatorname{mul} T = \operatorname{ran} T^{[\perp]}$ *hold.*

From Proposition 2.2, we get

Corollary 2.3. *If* T *is a unitary l.r. in a Pontryagin space then the condition* $\operatorname{mul} T \neq \{0\}$ *is equivalent to the condition* $\ker T \neq \{0\}$. *Moreover, the equality* $\dim \operatorname{mul} T = \dim \ker T$ *holds.*

2.3. **The generalized Schur class.** Recall that a Hermitian kernel $K_\omega(\lambda) : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ is said to have κ negative squares if for every positive integer n and every choice of $\lambda_j \in \Omega$ and $u_j \in \mathbb{C}^m$ $(j = 1, ..., n)$ the matrix

$$\left([K_{\lambda_j}(\lambda_k)u_j, u_k]_{\mathcal{H}}\right)_{j,k=1}^{n}$$

has at most κ negative eigenvalues and for some choice of $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n \in \Omega$ and $u_1, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{C}^m$ exactly κ negative eigenvalues. In this case we write

$$\operatorname{sq}_- K = \kappa.$$

Let κ be a nonnegative integer, $\mathfrak{L}_2 = \mathbb{C}^q$, $\mathfrak{L}_1 = \mathbb{C}^p$ $(p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ be the set of $p \times q$-matrices. A $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ valued function holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0 is said to belong to the generalized Schur class $S_\kappa(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ in the unit disc if the kernel

$$\Lambda_\omega^s(\lambda) = \frac{I_p - s(\lambda)s(\omega)^*}{1 - \lambda\overline{\omega}} \quad (\lambda, w \in \Omega_s \subset \mathbb{D}) \tag{2.3}$$

has κ negative square on Ω_s (see [24]). The class $S(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1) := S_0(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ consists of usual Schur function. An example of a generalized Schur function is provided by the Blaschke-Potapov product

$$b(\lambda) = \prod b_j(\lambda), \quad b_j(\lambda) = I - P_j + \frac{\lambda - \alpha_j}{1 - \overline{\alpha}_j\lambda}P_j, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{D}$, P_j orthoprojections in $\mathbb{C}^p$ $(j = 1, ..., k)$. The factor $b_j(\cdot)$ is called simple if P_j has rank one. Although $b(\cdot)$ can be written as a product of simple factors in many ways; the number of this factors is the same for every representation (2.4). It is called the degree of the Blaschke-Potapov product $b(z)$ [28].

A theorem of Kreĭn-Langer [24] guarantees that every generalized Schur function $s(\cdot) \in S_\kappa^{p\times q}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ admits a factorization of the form

$$s(\lambda) = b_l(\lambda)^{-1}s_l(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_s), \tag{2.5}$$

where $b_l(\cdot)$ is a Blaschke-Potapov product of degree κ, $s_l(\cdot)$ is in the Schur class $S(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ and

$$\ker s_l(\lambda)^* \cap \ker b_l(\lambda)^* = \{0\} \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_s). \tag{2.6}$$

The representation (2.5) is called a left Kreĭn-Langer factorization. The constraint (2.6) can be expressed in the equivalent form

$$\operatorname{rank}[b_l(\lambda), s_l(\lambda)] = p \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_s). \tag{2.7}$$

If $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{D}$ $(j = 1, ..., n)$ are all zeros of $b_l(\cdot)$ in $\mathbb{D}$, then the condition (2.6) ensures that $\Omega_s = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n\}$. The left Kreĭn-Langer (2.5) is essentially unique in a sense that $b_l(\cdot)$ is defined uniquely up to a left unitary factor $V \in \mathbb{C}^{p\times p}$.

Similarly, every generalized Schur runction $s(\cdot) \in S_\kappa^{p\times q}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ a right Kreĭn-Langer factorization

$$s(\lambda) = s_r(\lambda) b_r(\lambda)^{-1}, \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_s), \tag{2.8}$$

where $b_r(\cdot)$ is a Blaschke-Potapov product of degree κ, $s_r(\cdot)$is in the Schur class $S(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ and

$$\ker s_r(\lambda) \cap \ker b_r(\lambda) = \{0\} \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_s). \tag{2.9}$$

This condition can be rewritten in the equivalent form

$$\operatorname{rank}[b_r(\lambda), s_r(\lambda)] = q \quad (\lambda \in \Omega_s). \tag{2.10}$$

Under assumption (2.8) the matrix valued function $b_r(\cdot)$ is uniquely defined up to a right unitary factor $V' \in \mathbb{C}^{q\times q}$.

Let Π_+ and Π_- denote the orthogonal projections from L_2^k onto H_2^k and $(H_2^k)^\perp$ respectively, where k is a positive integer that will be understood from the context. Let us introduce the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}(b_r) := H_2^q \ominus b_r H_2^q, \quad \mathcal{H}_*(b_l) := (H_2^p)^\perp \ominus b_l^*(H_2^p)^\perp \tag{2.11}$$

and the operators

$$X_r : h \in \mathcal{H}(b_r) \to \Pi_- sh, \quad X_l : h \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_l) \to \Pi_+ s^* h \tag{2.12}$$

based on $s(\cdot)$.

The next operators will play an important role.

Definition 2.4. *Let*

$$\Gamma_l : f \in L_2^q \to X_l^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}(b_r)} f \in \mathcal{H}_*(b_l); \tag{2.13}$$

$$\Gamma_r : g \in L_2^p \to X_r^{-1} P_{\mathcal{H}_*(b_l)} g \in \mathcal{H}(b_r), \tag{2.14}$$

where X_l and X_r are defined by (2.12).

This operators Γ_r and Γ_l are using for introducing a metric in some space.

2.4. **Unitary colligations in Pontryagin spaces.** The theory of unitary colligations in Hilbert spaces was introduced in the paper [27] and had further development in the papers [11, 10]. The theory of unitary colligations in Pontryagin spaces was built in [26] and [1], in the latter the functional models of these colligations were studied.

In the present paper we use the notation $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(s)$ which is different from that used in the papers [1] and [12].

Let us recall some basic notions from the theory of unitary colligations (see [10], [16]). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Pontryagin space with the negative index κ (see [4,5]), let $\mathfrak{L}_1$, $\mathfrak{L}_2$ be Hilbert spaces, and let $U = \begin{bmatrix} T & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix}$ be a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_2$ into $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1$. Then the quadruple $\Delta = (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1, U)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the so–called state space and $\mathfrak{L}_2$, $\mathfrak{L}_1$ stand for the incoming and outgoing spaces, respectively, is said to be a *unitary colligation*.

The colligation Δ is said to be *simple*, if there is no reducing subspace $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}$. The colligation Δ is simple (see [16]) if and only if

$$(\mathcal{H}_\Delta :=)\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{T^n F h_2, T^{[*]^n} G^{[*]} h_1 : \ h_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{L}_2, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\right\} = \mathcal{H}. \tag{2.15}$$

The operator valued function

$$s(z) = H + \lambda G(I - \lambda T)^{-1} F : \mathfrak{L}_2 \to \mathfrak{L}_1 \quad (1/\lambda \in \rho(T)) \tag{2.16}$$

is said to be the characteristic function of the colligation (or the scattering matrix of the unitary operator U with respect to the channel subspaces $\mathfrak{L}_2$, $\mathfrak{L}_1$ see [4]). If the colligation Δ is simple then $s \in S_\kappa(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$. One can rewrite the formula (2.16) in the form

$$s(\lambda) = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} U (I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} U)^{-1} P_{\mathfrak{L}_2} = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} (I - \lambda U P_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} U P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}, \tag{2.17}$$

since

$$(I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} U)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (I - \lambda T)^{-1} & \lambda (I - \lambda T)^{-1} F \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U(I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} U)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T(I - \lambda T)^{-1} & F + \lambda T (I - \lambda T)^{-1} F \\ G(I - \lambda T)^{-1} & H + \lambda G (I - \lambda T)^{-1} F \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.18}$$

Here $P_{\mathcal{H}}$, $P_{\mathfrak{L}_i}$ are orthogonal projections from $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_i$ onto $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$, respectively.

2.5. **The de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{D}(s)$.** The symbol $A^{[-1]}$ stands for the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of the matrix A (. [17]),

$$\Delta_s(\mu) := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -s(\mu) \\ -s(\mu)^* & I_q \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.19}$$

a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ for $s(\cdot) \in S_\kappa^{p \times q}$.

Definition 2.5. *Let a matrix valued function $s(\cdot) \in S_\kappa^{p \times q}$ admit left and right Kreĭn-Langer factorizations* (2.5) *and* (2.8). *Define $\mathcal{D}(s)$ as the set of vector valued functions $f(t) = \begin{bmatrix} f_+(t) \\ f_-(t) \end{bmatrix}$ such that the following conditions hold:*

(1) $b_l f_+ \in H_2^p$;
(2) $b_r^* f_- \in (H_2^q)^\perp$;

(3) $f(t) \in \operatorname{ran} \Delta_s(\mu)$ *a.e. on* $\mathbb{T}$ *and the following integral*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} f(\mu)^* \Delta_s(\mu)^{[-1]} f(\mu) d\mu$$

converges.

The inner product in $\mathcal{D}(s)$ *is defined by*

$$[f, g]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} g(\mu)^* \left(\Delta_s(\mu)^{[-1]} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Gamma_r^* \\ \Gamma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) f(\mu) d\mu, \tag{2.20}$$

where the operator Γ_r *was defined in* (2.14).

As has been shown in [13] the space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ is a Pontryagin space with the negative index κ. In the case when $\kappa = 0$ the space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ was introduced in [9] (see also [18]).

2.6. **The generalized Potapov class and generalized J-inner functions.** Let $\kappa, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and J be a $m \times m$ signature matrix (i.e., $J = J^* \quad JJ^* = I_m$).

Definition 2.6. *Recall that a meromorphic in* $\mathbb{D}$ $m \times m$*-valued matrix function* $W(\cdot)$ *belongs the generalized Potapov class* $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(J)$ [2]*, if the kernel*

$$K_\omega^W(\lambda) = \frac{J - W(\lambda) J W(\omega)^*}{1 - \lambda \overline{\omega}} \tag{2.21}$$

has κ *negative squares in* Ω_W*, where* Ω_W *is the domain of holomorphy of* W *in* $\mathbb{D}$.

Definition 2.7. [2, 13] *A meromorphic in* $\mathbb{D}$ $m \times m$*-valued matrix function* $W(\cdot)$ *is called* J*-inner matrix valued function (it is denoted by* $W \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$*), if it belongs the generalized Potapov class* $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(J)$ *and*

$$J - W(\mu) J W(\mu)^* = 0 \tag{2.22}$$

a.e. $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$*. This class is denoted by* $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$.

2.7. **Reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces.** A Pontryagin space $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{H}})$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$-valued functions defined in a subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$ is called a reproducing kernel Pontryagin space if there exists a Hermitian kernel $K_\mu(\lambda) : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ such that

(1) $K_\mu(\lambda) u \in \mathcal{H}$, for every $\mu \in \Omega$, $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$;
(2) $[f, K_\mu u]_{\mathcal{H}} = u^* f(\mu)$, for every $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mu \in \Omega$, $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

It is known (see [29]) that for every Hermitian kernel $K_\mu(\lambda) \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C} m \times m$ with a finite number of negative squares on $\Omega \times \Omega$ there is a unique Pontryagin space $\mathcal{H}$ with reproducing kernel $K_\mu(\lambda)$, and that $\operatorname{ind}_- \mathcal{H} = \operatorname{sq}_- K = \kappa$. In the case $\kappa = 0$ this fact is due to Aronszajn [3] (see also [12]).

2.8. **Space $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)$.** Let $s \in S_\kappa^{p \times q}(\mathbb{D})$ be the characteristic function of a unitary colligation $\Delta = (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; T, F, G, H)$. Let us consider the kernel $D_s(\lambda, \mu)$ on $\Omega_s \times \Omega_s$ defined by the matrix

$$D_s(\mu, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} - s(\lambda) s(\mu)^*}{1 - \lambda \overline{\mu}} & -\mu \frac{s(\lambda) - s(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \\ -\overline{\lambda} \frac{s(\lambda)^* - s(\mu)^*}{\overline{\lambda} - \overline{\mu}} & \overline{\lambda} \mu \frac{I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} - s(\lambda)^* s(\mu)}{1 - \overline{\lambda} \mu} \end{bmatrix}, \ (\lambda, \mu \in \Omega(s)). \tag{2.23}$$

The introduced kernel is similar to the kernels from [12] and [13]. A Pontryagin space corresponding to this reproducing kernel $D_s(\lambda, \mu)$ is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)$.

Let us define two operator functions $G_1(z):\mathfrak{L}_1\to\mathcal{H}$ $G_2(z):\mathfrak{L}_2\to\mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\lambda)^{[*]} &= P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}U(I-\lambda P_{\mathcal{H}}U)^{-1}|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (1/\lambda\in\rho(T)),\\ G_2(\lambda) &= -P_{\mathcal{H}}U(I-\lambda P_{\mathcal{H}}U)^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \quad (1/\lambda\in\rho(T)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

It follows from (2.18): that

$$G_1(\lambda)^{[*]}=G(I-\lambda T)^{-1},\quad G_2(\lambda)=-(I-\lambda T)^{-1}F\quad (1/\lambda\in\rho(T))$$

and the formula (2.15) for the subspace $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{H}_\Delta=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{G_1(\lambda)h_1,G_2(\lambda)h_2:\ h_1\in\mathfrak{L}_1,h_2\in\mathfrak{L}_2,\ 1/\lambda\in\rho(T)\right\}.$$

As is easily checked for every $\widetilde{f}=\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{f}_1\\ \widetilde{f}_2\end{bmatrix}$, $\widetilde{g}=\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{g}_1\\ \widetilde{g}_2\end{bmatrix}\in\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2$ the following identity holds

$$(D_s(\mu,\lambda)\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})_{\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2}=[G_1(\mu)\widetilde{f}_1+\mu G_2(\mu)\widetilde{f}_2,G_1(\lambda)\widetilde{g}_1+\lambda G_2(\lambda)\widetilde{g}_2]_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{2.25}$$

It follows from (2.25) that the kernel $D_s(\mu,\lambda)$ has at most κ, and if the colligation Δ is simple exactly κ, negative squares on $\Omega(s)$.

The next Theorem is the reformulation of the Theorem from the paper [13] for the reproducing kernel space $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $s\in S_\kappa^{p\times q}$, then the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ is unitarily equivalent to the reproducing kernel space $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)$ via the mapping*

$$\mathcal{T}:\widetilde{f}=\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{f}_1\\ \widetilde{f}_2\end{bmatrix}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(s)\to f=\begin{bmatrix}f_+\\ f_-\end{bmatrix}\in\mathcal{D}(s), \tag{2.26}$$

where $\widetilde{f}_1$ is the meromorphic continuation of f_+ to Ω_s, and $\widetilde{f}_2^*$ is the meromorphic continuation of f_-^* to Ω_s such that $\widetilde{f}$ is a nontangential limit of f from the unit disk.

3. Functional model of a unitary colligation Δ.

In this section we will define the Fourier representation of an unitary colligation and recall its functional model like in [12].

Recall (see [5]) that a subspace $\mathcal{H}_1$ of the Pontryagin space $\mathcal{H}$ is called regular if it is orthocomplemented.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Delta=(\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{L}_2,\mathfrak{L}_1;U)$ be a unitary colligation such that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Pontryagin space and let $s(\cdot)$ be the corresponding characteristic function. If $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ is a regular subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ then the space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ can be identified with the space $\mathcal{D}$ of vector functions*

$$(\mathcal{F}h)(\lambda)=\begin{bmatrix}G_1(\lambda)^{[*]}h\\ \overline{\lambda}G_2(\lambda)^{[*]}h\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}G(I-\lambda T)^{-1}h\\ -\lambda F^{[*]}(I-\overline{\lambda}T^{[*]})^{-1}\end{bmatrix}\ (h\in\mathcal{H}_\Delta), \tag{3.1}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$[\mathcal{F}h,\mathcal{F}g]_{\mathcal{D}(s)}=[h,g]_{\mathcal{H}}\ (h,g\in\mathcal{H}_\Delta). \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF. As was mentioned above the kernel $D_s(\mu,\lambda)$ has a finite number of negative squares. In view of (2.25) the equality (2.23) can be rewritten in the form

$$D_s(\mu,\lambda) = \mathcal{F}(\lambda)\mathcal{F}(\mu)^{[*]} \ (\lambda,\mu\in\Omega_s). \tag{3.3}$$

Hence the function $D_s(\mu,\cdot)x$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}$ for every $x\in\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2$. The mapping $\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{H}_\Delta\to\mathcal{D}$ is one-to-one, since $\mathcal{F}h(\lambda)\equiv 0$ implies $h\perp\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ due to (3.2), and, therefore, $h=0$. Moreover, it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that $\mathcal{D}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ and the following reproducing property of the kernel $D_s(\mu,\lambda)$ holds:

$$[\mathcal{F}h, D_s(\mu,\cdot)x]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} = [h,\mathcal{F}(\mu)^{[*]}x]_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta} = (\mathcal{F}(\mu)h,x)_{\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} \tag{3.4}$$

for every $x\in\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2$, $\mu\in\Omega_s$. □

If the colligation Δ is simple, then the space $\mathcal{D}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{H}$ under the mapping $\mathcal{F}$. If the colligation Δ is not simple but $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ is regular, then the operator $\mathcal{F}$ can be continued by zero to the subspace $\mathcal{H}\ominus\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ and the continuation $\mathcal{F}$ is given by the same formula (3.1) for every $h\in\mathcal{H}$. The operator $\mathcal{F}$ is called the *Fourier representation* of the colligation Δ.

Proposition 3.2. *(see* [18] *for the case $\kappa=0$) The Fourier representation $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the relation*

$$\mathcal{F}P_{\mathcal{H}}U^{[*]} + \begin{bmatrix} s(t)\\ -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2}\end{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}U^{[*]} = t\cdot\mathcal{F}P_{\mathcal{H}} + \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1}\\ -s(t)^*\end{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}. \tag{3.5}$$

Here $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{L}_i}$ are orthoprojections onto $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_i$ $(i=1,2)$, respectively, $t\in\mathbb{T}$.

PROOF. Due to (2.17) and (2.24) one can reduce the left-hand side of (3.5) to the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I-tUP_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}U(P_{\mathcal{H}}U^{[*]}+P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}U^{[*]})\\ -\overline{t}P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I-\overline{t}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}}U^{[*]}-P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}U^{[*]}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I-tUP_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}\\ -P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I-\overline{t}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}U^{[*]}\end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the right-hand side of (3.5) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} tP_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I-tUP_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}P_{\mathcal{H}}+P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}\\ -P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I-\overline{t}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}}-P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I-\overline{t}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}U^{[*]}P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}\end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I-tUP_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}\\ -P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I-\overline{t}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}})^{-1}U^{[*]}\end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the equality (3.5) follows from two last equalities. □

Definition 3.3. *The colligation $\Delta=(\mathfrak{H},\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{G};T,F,G,H)$ is called the unitarily equivalent to the colligation $\Delta'=(\mathfrak{H}',\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{G};T',F',G',H')$ if there exists a mapping Z from $\mathfrak{H}$ to $\mathfrak{H}'$ such that*

$$T'=ZTZ^{-1},\qquad F'=ZF,\qquad G'=GZ^{-1}, \tag{3.6}$$

or in other words

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z & 0\\ 0 & I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} T & F\\ G & H\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} Z^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & I\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T' & F'\\ G' & H'\end{bmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

Theorem 3.4. *(see [12]) Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; T, F, G, H)$ be a simple unitary colligation and $s(\cdot)$ be the characteristic function of the colligation Δ, and let the colligation $\Delta_s = (\mathcal{D}(s), \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; U_s) = (\mathcal{D}(s), \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; T_s, F_s, G_s, H_s)$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} &T_s f = \overline{t}\left(f - \begin{bmatrix} I & -s \\ -s^* & I \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} f_+(0) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad F_s = -\overline{t}\begin{bmatrix} I & -s \\ -s^* & I \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} s(0) \\ I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix}, \\ &G_s f = f_+(0), \quad H_s = s(0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then the colligations Δ and Δ_s are unitary equivalent via

$$U_s \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \end{bmatrix} U \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. The equality (3.5) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{F}P_{\mathcal{H}} + \begin{bmatrix} s \\ -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_2} = t \cdot \mathcal{F}P_{\mathcal{H}}U + \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \tag{3.10}$$

Hence one obtains for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{L}_2$

$$\mathcal{F}h = t \cdot \mathcal{F}Th + \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} Gh \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}), \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} s \\ -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} x = t \cdot \mathcal{F}Fx + \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} Hx \quad (x \in \mathfrak{L}_2). \tag{3.12}$$

Let the operator $U_s = \begin{bmatrix} T_s & F_s \\ G_s & H_s \end{bmatrix}$ be defined by the equality

$$U_s = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \end{bmatrix} U \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^{-1} & \mathcal{F}F \\ G\mathcal{F}^{-1} & H \end{bmatrix}.$$

Setting $f = \mathcal{F}h$, one obtains from (3.11), (3.12) and (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} T_s f = \mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^{-1}f = \overline{t}\left(f - \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} G_s f\right) = \overline{t}\left(f - \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} f_+(0)\right), \\ F_s x = \mathcal{F}Fx = \overline{t}\left(\begin{bmatrix} s \\ -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} H\right) = -\overline{t}\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} & -s \\ -s^* & I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} s(0)x \\ x \end{bmatrix}, \\ G_s f = G\mathcal{F}^{-1}f = f_+(0), \quad H_s = H = s(0), \end{aligned}$$

which prove the formula (3.8). □

Theorem (3.4) shows why the mapping $\mathcal{F}$ is called the Fourier representation of Δ. In the case when the colligation Δ is simple, this mapping gives the unitary equivalence between Δ and its functional model.

4. Abstract interpolation problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$.

Given are Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathfrak{L}_1$, $\mathfrak{L}_2$, integer $\kappa, \widetilde{\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and operators $M, N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $C_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{L}_1)$, $C_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{L}_2)$, $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that

(A1) $P = P^*$, $0 \in \rho(P)$ $\operatorname{sq}_-(P) = \kappa$.

(A2) for every $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ the following identity holds

$$(PMf, Mg)_{\mathcal{H}} - (PNf, Ng)_{\mathcal{H}} = (C_1 f, C_1 g)_{\mathfrak{L}_1} - (C_2 f, C_2 g)_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \tag{4.1}$$

Find an operator function $s(\cdot) \in S_{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ and the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{D}(s)$, such that:

(i) $[\Phi h, \Phi h]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} \le (Ph, h)_{\mathcal{H}}$, for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$;

(ii) $\Phi Mh - t\Phi Nh = \begin{bmatrix} I & -s \\ -s^* & I \end{bmatrix} Ch$, where $C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$, for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Alongside with the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ let us consider the Problem $AIP_0(\widetilde{\kappa})$, by replacing (i) by the generalized Parseval equality

(ii') $[\Phi h, \Phi h]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} = (Ph, h)_{\mathcal{H}}$, for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be supplied with the inner product $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathcal{H}} := (P\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then the space $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a Pontryagin space with the negative index κ.

It follows from the identity (4.1) that the operator

$$V : \begin{bmatrix} Mf \\ C_2 f \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} Nf \\ C_1 f \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

is a Pontryagin space isometric operator from $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_2$ to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1$. The problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ can be reduced to the problem of extension of the isometric operator V to a unitary operator

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} T & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathfrak{L}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \supset \mathcal{H}).$$

Definition 4.1. *A unitary extension U of V will be called $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular, if $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus \mathcal{H}_\Delta$ is a Hilbert space. An extension U will be called $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-minimal, if the corresponding colligation $\Delta = (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1, U)$ is simple, or in other words $\mathcal{H}_\Delta = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Clearly, every $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-minimal unitary extension U is $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular.*

In the case $\widetilde{\kappa} = 0$ a description of the set of solutions of the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ was given in [18], [21].

Lemma 4.2. *Let U be a unitary operator in a Pontryagin space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ with the negative index $\widetilde{\kappa}$ and $s(\lambda) = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I - zUP_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1}UP_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$ be its characteristic function. Then $s(\cdot)$ belongs $S_{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ if and only if the operator U is a $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular.*

Proof. If U is a $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular, then $\operatorname{ind}_-(\mathcal{H}_\Delta) = \widetilde{\kappa}$. The mapping

$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda) : h \to \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I - \lambda UP_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1}UP_{\mathcal{H}} \\ -\overline{\lambda}P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I - \overline{\lambda}U^{[*]}P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}} \end{bmatrix} h \tag{4.3}$$

is isometric from $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ to $\mathcal{D}(s)$. Hence, $\operatorname{ind}_-(\mathcal{D}(s)) = \widetilde{\kappa}$ and $s(\cdot) \in S_{\widetilde{\kappa}}(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$.

Conversely, if $s \in S_{\widetilde{\kappa}}$, then since $\operatorname{ind}_-(\mathcal{D}(s)) = \widetilde{\kappa}$ one gets $\operatorname{ind}_-(\mathcal{H}_\Delta) = \widetilde{\kappa}$. Hence, U is a $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular. □

Theorem 4.3. *For the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ to be solvable it is necessary that $\kappa \le \widetilde{\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The formulas*

$$s(\lambda) = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I - \lambda UP_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1}UP_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I - \lambda UP_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1}UP_{\mathcal{H}} \\ -\overline{\lambda}P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(I - \overline{\lambda}U^{[*]}P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1}U^{[*]}P_{\mathcal{H}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.5}$$

establish a one-to-one correspondence between the set of solutions $\{s, \Phi\}$ of the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ and the set of all $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular unitary operator extensions U of the operator V, such that:

$$ind_-(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}) = \widetilde{\kappa}. \tag{4.6}$$

A solution $\{s, \Phi\}$ of the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ is a solution of the Problem $AIP_0(\widetilde{\kappa})$, if and only if the extension U is $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-minimal.

PROOF. 1) Let U be a $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular extension of the operator V, satisfying (4.6) and let P_Δ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Consider a unitary colligation

$$\Delta = (\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; U), \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} T & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix}.$$

Due to Proposition 3.1 the space $\mathcal{D}(s)$ can be interpreted as the set of vector function

$$(\mathcal{F}h)(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} G(I - \lambda T)^{-1}h \\ -\overline{\lambda}F^{[*]}(I - \overline{\lambda}T^{[*]})^{-1}h \end{bmatrix}, \quad h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$$

with the scalar product

$$[\mathcal{F}h, \mathcal{F}g]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} = [P_\Delta h, P_\Delta g]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus \mathcal{H}_\Delta$ is a Hilbert space for every $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ the following inequality holds

$$[\mathcal{F}h, \mathcal{F}h]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} = [P_\Delta h, P_\Delta h]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} \le [h, h]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

Setting $\Phi h = \mathcal{F}h$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$, one obtains the mapping $\Phi : h \to \mathcal{D}(s)$, which satisfies (i).

The equality (ii) is implied by relation (3.5)

$$\mathcal{F}P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}U^{[*]} + \begin{bmatrix} s \\ -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}U^{[*]} = t\mathcal{F}P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} + \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \tag{4.7}$$

For a vector $\begin{bmatrix} Mh \\ C_2h \end{bmatrix}$ $(h \in \mathcal{H})$ from $\operatorname{dom} V$ one has (4.2)

$$U^{[*]} \begin{bmatrix} Nh \\ C_1h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Mh \\ C_2h \end{bmatrix} \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}) \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7) and taking account of $\mathcal{F}Mh = \Phi Mh$, $\mathcal{F}Nh = \Phi Nh$, one obtains the equality

$$\Phi Mh + \begin{bmatrix} s \\ -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} C_2h = t\Phi Nh + \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ -s^* \end{bmatrix} C_1h,$$

which is equivalent (ii).

2) Conversely, let $\{s, \Phi\}$ be a solution of the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ and let $\Delta_s = (\mathcal{D}(s), \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; U_s)$ be a unitary colligation with the characteristic function $s(\cdot)$, built in Proposition 3.4. Since the operator $I - \Phi^{[*]}\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is nonnegative in the Pontryagin space $\mathcal{H}$, it admits a Bognar-Kramli factorization [8]: $I - \Phi^{[*]}\Phi = DD^{[*]}$, where the defect operator D acts from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D} = \overline{\operatorname{ran}}(I - \Phi^{[*]}\Phi)$ to the Pontryagin space $\mathcal{H}$. Let us construct a lifting $\widetilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{D}(s) \oplus \mathcal{D} =: \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{D}(s)$, setting $\widetilde{\Phi} := \begin{bmatrix} \Phi \\ D^{[*]} \end{bmatrix}$. Then for every $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$ one obtains the equality:

$$[\widetilde{\Phi}h, \widetilde{\Phi}g]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = [\Phi f, \Phi g]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} + [D^{[*]}h, D^{[*]}g]_{\mathcal{D}} = (Ph, g)_{\mathcal{H}},$$

which proves that the mapping $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is isometric. Further it follows from (3.9) that

$$U_s \begin{bmatrix} \Phi Mh \\ C_2h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \end{bmatrix} U \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi Mh \\ C_2h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi Nh \\ C_1h \end{bmatrix}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \tag{4.9}$$

Since the operators U_s, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and V are isometric, one obtains for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(D^{[*]}Nh, D^{[*]}Nh)_{\mathcal{H}} &= [\widetilde{\Phi}Nh, \widetilde{\Phi}Nh]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} - [\Phi Nh, \Phi Nh]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} \\ &= [\widetilde{\Phi}Nh, \widetilde{\Phi}Nh]_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} - [\Phi Mh, \Phi Mh]_{\mathcal{D}(s)} - (C_2h, C_2h)_{\mathfrak{L}_2} + (C_1h, C_1h)_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \\ &= [(PNh, Nh)_{\mathcal{H}} + \|C_1h\|^2_{\mathfrak{L}_1}] - [(PMh, Mh)_{\mathcal{H}} + \|C_2h\|^2_{\mathfrak{L}_2}] \\ &\quad + [D^{[*]}Mh, D^{[*]}Mh]_{\mathcal{H}} = [D^{[*]}Mh, D^{[*]}Mh]_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the operator

$$U_D : D^{[*]}Mh \to D^{[*]}Nh, \quad h \in \mathcal{H} \tag{4.10}$$

is isometric. Let $\widetilde{U}_D$ be a unitary extension of U_D in a Hilbert space $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \supset \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$U = U_s \oplus \widetilde{U}_D : \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathfrak{L}_2\end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathfrak{L}_1\end{bmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{D}(s) \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$$

is a unitary operator. It follows from (4.9) and (4.10) that

$$U \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\Phi}Mh \\ C_2h\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\Phi}Nh \\ C_1h\end{bmatrix}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}$$

and the operator U is a unitary extension of the isometric operator

$$\widetilde{V} = \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\Phi} & 0 \\ 0 & I\end{bmatrix} V \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\Phi} & 0 \\ 0 & I\end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

Hence, $\kappa \le \widetilde{\kappa}$ and U is an $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular extension of the operator $\widetilde{V}$ satistying (4.6), since $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \ominus \mathcal{D}(s) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a Hilbert space.

3) If the extension U is $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-minimal, then $\mathcal{H}_\Delta = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and the mapping $\mathcal{F} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{D}(s)$ is isometric. It proves the Parseval equality (ii'). Conversely, if $\{s, \Phi\}$ is a solution of the Problem $AIP_0(\widetilde{\kappa})$, then the mapping Φ is isometric and the operator U_s is a unitary extension of the operator $\widetilde{V} = \begin{bmatrix}\Phi & 0 \\ 0 & I\end{bmatrix} V \begin{bmatrix}\Phi & 0 \\ 0 & I\end{bmatrix}^{-1}$. Since the colligation Δ_s is simple, the extension U is $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-minimal. □

5. Parametrization of solutions.

Definition 5.1. *Recall that λ is a regular point of the pencil $M - \lambda N$ (it is denoted by $\lambda \in \rho(M, N)$) if $0 \in \rho(M - \lambda N)$. Denote*

$$\rho(M, N)^{\#} := \left\{\lambda : 0 \in \rho\left(M - \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}}N\right)^*\right\}$$

Suppose that the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$ data satisfy the condition
(A3) There exists a point $a \in \mathbb{T} \bigcap \rho(M, N)$.
We will suppose that $\rho(M, N) \supset \mathbb{D}$ except finite set of points.
Recall the following definition from the paper [6].

Definition 5.2. *We shall write $\lambda \in \rho(V, \mathfrak{L}_2)$ if $1 \in \widehat{\rho}(\lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V)$ and*

$$(I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V)\mathrm{dom}\, V \dot{+} \begin{bmatrix}0 \\ \mathfrak{L}_2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{H} \\ \mathfrak{L}_2\end{bmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

and $\lambda \in \rho(V^{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ if $1 \in \widehat{\rho}(\lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V^{-1})$ and

$$(I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V^{-1})\mathrm{ran}\, V \dot{+} \begin{bmatrix}0 \\ \mathfrak{L}_1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{H} \\ \mathfrak{L}_1\end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.2}$$

We shall write $\lambda \in \rho_V(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ *if* $\lambda \in \rho(V, \mathfrak{L}_2)$, *and* $\overline{\lambda} \in \rho(V^{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_1)$.

Proposition 5.3. *The following equivalences hold*
1) $\lambda \in \rho(V, \mathfrak{L}_2)$ *iff* $\lambda \in \rho(M, N)$;
2) $\overline{\lambda} \in \rho(V^{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ *iff* $\overline{\lambda} \in \rho(N, M)$;
3) $\lambda \in \rho_V(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ *iff* $\lambda \in \rho(M, N) \bigcap \rho(N, M)^*$.

Proof. 1) The statement $\lambda \in \rho(V, \mathfrak{L}_2)$ means that for every vector $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ there exist uniquely determined vectors $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $l_2 \in \mathfrak{L}_2$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = (I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V) \begin{bmatrix} Mh \\ C_2 h \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ l_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (M - \lambda N)h \\ C_2 h + l_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The condition of the unique representation for every vector $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$ gives an invertibility of $M - \lambda N$.

Conversely, let $0 \in \rho(M - \lambda N)$, then for every $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ one can define vectors $h := (M - \lambda N)^{-1} f$ and $l_2 := u_2 - C_2(M - \lambda N)^{-1} f$. The vector $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$ can be represented in the following way

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = (I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V) \begin{bmatrix} M(M - \lambda N)^{-1} f \\ C_2(M - \lambda N)^{-1} f \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u_2 - C_2(M - \lambda N)^{-1} f \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) Let the statement $\overline{\lambda} \in \rho(V^{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ hold. This means that for every vector $\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathfrak{L}_1 \end{bmatrix}$ there exists uniquely determined vectors $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $l_1 \in \mathfrak{L}_1$, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix} = (I - \overline{\lambda} P_{\mathcal{H}} V^{-1}) \begin{bmatrix} Nh \\ C_1 h \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ l_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (N - \overline{\lambda} M)h \\ C_1 h + l_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the operator $N - \overline{\lambda} M$ is invertible (i.e. $\overline{\lambda} \in \rho(N, M)$).

The converse proposition is proved in the way similar to the converse proposition of 1).

The proposition 3) follows from 1) and 2). □

Corollary 5.4. *For* $a \in \mathbb{T}$ *we have* $\rho(V, \mathfrak{L}_2) = \rho(V^{-1}, \mathfrak{L}_1) = \rho_V(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$.

Definition 5.5. *For* $\lambda \in \rho(V, \mathfrak{L}_2)$, *by* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda)$ *we denote the skew projection onto* $\mathfrak{L}_2$ *in the decomposition (5.1) and introduce the operator*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda) := P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} V (I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V)^{-1} (I - \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda)).$$

Introduce the operator-function $W(\lambda)$ defined by

$$J - W(\lambda) J W(\mu)^* = (1 - \lambda \overline{\mu}) \mathcal{G}(\lambda) \mathcal{G}(\mu)^{[*]}, \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathfrak{L}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G}(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda) \\ I_{\mathfrak{L}_2} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_1 \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.4}$$

Definition 5.6. *The operator-function*

$$W(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11}(\lambda) & w_{12}(\lambda) \\ w_{21}(\lambda) & w_{22}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_1 \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_1 \\ \mathfrak{L}_2 \end{bmatrix}, (\lambda \in \rho_V(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)),$$

satisfying the equality (5.3) is called the resolvent matrix for the operator V.

Proposition 5.7. *If the assumptions (A1)-(A3) hold, then the resolvent matrix $W(\cdot)$ can be defined by the equality*

$$W(\lambda) = I - (1 - \lambda\overline{a})\mathcal{G}(\lambda)\mathcal{G}(a)^{[*]}J_{\mathfrak{L}}, \quad \lambda \in \rho_V(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1). \tag{5.5}$$

Let us find the explicit form of the operators $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda)$. Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{L}_2$ and $f, h \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u \end{bmatrix} + (I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V) \begin{bmatrix} Mh \\ C_2 h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (M - \lambda N)h \\ C_2 h + u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the operator $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda)$ is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -C_2(M - \lambda N)^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.6}$$

and the adjoint operator is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda)^{[*]} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -P^{-1}(M - \lambda N)^{-*}C_2^* \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u \end{bmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathfrak{L}_2. \tag{5.7}$$

Let us find the explicit form for $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda) = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} V(I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V)^{-1}(I - \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda))$:

$$(I - \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}(\lambda)) \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ C_2(M - \lambda N)^{-1} f \end{bmatrix} = (I - \lambda P_{\mathcal{H}} V) \begin{bmatrix} Mh \\ C_2 h \end{bmatrix},$$

hence, $h = (M - \lambda N)^{-1} f$.

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix} = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} V \begin{bmatrix} Mh \\ C_2 h \end{bmatrix} = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \begin{bmatrix} Nh \\ C_1 h \end{bmatrix} = C_1(M - \lambda N)^{-1} f,$$

Therefore, the $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda)$ is

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_1(M - \lambda N)^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ v \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.8}$$

and the adjoint operator is

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(\lambda)^{[*]} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P^{-1}(M - \lambda N)^{-*}C_1^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{bmatrix}, \quad v \in \mathfrak{L}_1. \tag{5.9}$$

Then from (5.5) we get the explicit form for the resolvent matrix $W(\lambda)$:

$$W(\lambda) = I - (1 - a\lambda)C(M - \lambda N)^{-1}P^{-1}(M - \overline{a}N)^{-*}C^*J, \quad a \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{5.10}$$

where J is defined by (5.4).

Consider the main properties of $W(\cdot)$. We will need one more condition

(A4) The set of points $\mathbb{D} \setminus \rho(M, N)$ consists of at most of countable set of isolated points.

Proposition 5.8. *If the assumptions (A1)-(A4) are in force, then $W(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_{\kappa'}(J)$ for some $\kappa' \leq \kappa$.*

PROOF. This follows from the equality (5.3). Indeed, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \big(K_{\omega_j}(\omega_i)h_i, h_j\big)_{\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} \xi_i\overline{\xi}_j &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Big(\mathcal{G}(\omega_i)\mathcal{G}(\omega_j)^{[*]}h_i, h_j\Big)_{\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} \xi_i\overline{\xi}_j \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{G}(\omega_i)^{[*]}h_i\xi_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{G}(\omega_j)^{[*]}h_j\xi_j\right]\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Hence, the quadratic form has at most κ negative squares. □

Proposition 5.9. *Let the assumptions (A1)-(A4) hold. Then $W(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(J)$ if and only if*

$$\bigcap_{\lambda\in\rho(M,N)} \ker C(M-\lambda N)^{-1} = \{0\}. \tag{5.12}$$

PROOF. Notice that the condition (5.12) holds if and only if

$$\operatorname{span}\Big\{\{\mathcal{G}(\omega_j)^{[*]}h_j\} : \omega_j \in \rho(M,N), h_j \in \mathfrak{L}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_2\Big\}$$

dense in $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2$. Indeed, if $h[\perp]\mathcal{G}(\omega)^{[*]}u$, where $\omega \in \rho(M,N)$ and $u \in \mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\big(C(M-\omega N)^{-1}h, u\big)_{\mathfrak{L}_1\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} &= \big(h, (M^*-\overline{\omega}N^*)^{-1}C^*u\big)_{\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} \\ &= \big(Ph, P^{-1}(M^*-\overline{\omega}N^*)^{-1}C^*u\big)_{\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} = \Big[h, \mathcal{G}(\omega)^{[*]}u\Big]_{\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathfrak{L}_2} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Therefore, $C(M-\omega N)^{-1}h = 0$. To complete the prove we need to use the previous Proposition 5.8. □

Proposition 5.10. *Let the assumptions (A1)-(A4) are in force and* (5.12) *hold. If in addition*

$$m(\sigma(M,N)\cap\mathbb{T}) = 0, \tag{5.14}$$

where $m(\cdot)$ is a Lebesgue measure, then $W(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$.

PROOF. This follows from (5.3). Hence, for $\mu \in \rho(M,N)\cap\mathbb{T}$ we get

$$J - W(\mu)JW(\mu)^* = (1-|\mu|^2)\mathcal{G}(\mu)\mathcal{G}(\mu)^{[*]} = 0.$$

In other words, $W(\cdot)$ is a J-unitary operator-function for almost all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$. Using Proposition 5.9 one has $W(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_\kappa(J)$. □

The matrix-function $s(\lambda)$ is a component of the solution of the Problem $AIP(\widetilde{\kappa})$. According to Theorem 4.3 this matrix-function is the characteristic function of the unitary colligation $\Delta = (\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1; U)$, where the operator U is a unitary extension of an isometric operator V. A description of characteristic functions of unitary colligations was obtained in [6].

The following Theorem gives a description of solutions.

Theorem 5.11. *Let the data of the Problem $AIP(\kappa)$ satisfies the assumptions (A1)-(A3). The the solution set of $AIP(\kappa)$ is described by the formula*

$$s(\lambda) = (w_{11}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{12}(\lambda))(w_{21}(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda) + w_{22}(\lambda))^{-1}, \tag{5.15}$$

where $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ ranges over the class $S(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ and $w_{21}(0)\varepsilon(0) + w_{22}(0)$ is invertible. In this case the mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{D}(s)$ is uniquely defined by

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} I & -s(t) \\ -s^*(t) & I \end{bmatrix} C(M-tN)^{-1},\ t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

PROOF. Let $\{s, \Phi\}$ be a solution of the Problem $AIP(\kappa)$, where $s(\cdot) \in S_\kappa(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ and is holomorphic on a neighborhood of 0. From Theorem 4.3 we get

$$s(\lambda) = P_{\mathfrak{L}_1}(I - \lambda U P_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})^{-1} U P_{\mathfrak{L}_2}. \tag{5.16}$$

Further, using Theorem 3 from [6], we get $s(\lambda) = T_{W(\lambda)}[\varepsilon(\lambda)]$, where $\varepsilon(\cdot) \in S(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$, and $w_{21}(\cdot)\varepsilon(\cdot) + w_{22}(\cdot)$ is invertible at 0.

Conversely, let $\varepsilon(\cdot) \in S(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$, $s(\cdot) = T_W[\varepsilon] \in S_\kappa(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ and the matrix-function be holomorphic at 0. According to Theorem 3 in [6] $s(\cdot)$ admits the representation (5.16). Since $s(\cdot) \in S_\kappa(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$ (see Lemma 4.2), the unitary operator U is a $(\mathfrak{L}_2, \mathfrak{L}_1)$-regular. □

References

[1] Alpay D., Dijksma A., Rovnyak J., and de Snoo H.S.V. Schur functions, operator colligations, and reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl., **96**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.

[2] Alpay D. and Dym H. On applications of reproducing kernel spaces to the Schur algorithm and rational J unitary factrization. I. Schur methods in operator theory and signal processing, pp. 89-159 in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 18, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1986.

[3] Aronszajn N. Theory of reproducing kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1950), 337-404.

[4] Arov D.Z., Grossman L.Z. Scattering matrices in the theory of unitary extensions of isometric operatirs, Math. Nachr., 157 (1992), 105-123.

[5] Azizov T. Ya. and Iokhvidov I. S. Foundations of the theory of linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric, Moscow, Nauka. 1986, (English translation: Wiley, New York, 1989).

[6] Baidiuk D. Description of Scattering Matrices of Unitary Extensions of Isometric Operators in Pontryagin Space, Math. Notes 73 (2013), no.6, 940-943.

[7] Benewitz C. Symmetric relations on a Hilbert space, Lect.Notes Math. 280 (1972), 212-218.

[8] Bognar J. Indefinite inner product spaces, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.,Bd 78 Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.

[9] De Branges L. and Rovnyak J. Canonical models in quantum scattering theory, Perturbation Theory and its Application in Quantum Mechanics, Wiley, New York, (1966), 359-391.

[10] Brodskii M.S. Unitary operator colligations and their characteristic functions, Uspekhi Mat.Nauk, 33 (1978), no. 4, 141-168; Engl. trans.: Rus. Math. Surveys, 33 (1978), no. 4, 159-191.

[11] Brodskii V. M., Gokhberg I. Ts., and Krein M. G. Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., 4 (1970), no. 1, 8890.

[12] Derkach V. A. On indefinite abstract interpolation problem, Methods of Funct. Analysis and Topology, 7 (2001), no. 4, 87-100.

[13] Derkach V., Dym H. On Linear Fractional Transformation s Associated with Generalized J-Inner Matrix Functions, Integr.Equ.Oper. Theory 65 (2009), 1-50.

[14] Derkach V.A., Hassi S., Malamud M.M., and de Snoo H.S.V. Boundary relations and their Weyl families, Transactions of AMS, 358 (2004), no. 12, 5351-5400.

[15] Derkach V.A. and Malamud M.M. The extension theory of hermitian operators and the moment problem, J. Math. Sci., 73 (1995), no. 2. 141-242.

[16] Dijksma A., Langer H. and de Snoo H.S.V. Unitary colligations in Kreĭn spaces and their role in the extension theory of isometries and symmetric linear relations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1242 (1987), 1-42.

[17] Gantmacher F.R. Theory of Matrices Nauka, Moscow, 1988 (in Russian)

[18] Katsnelson V.E., Kheifets A.Ya. and Yuditskii P.M. The abstract interpolation problem and extension theory of isomretric operators, Operators in Spaces of Functions and Problems in Function Theory, Kiev, Naukova Dumka, (1987), 83-96 (Russian).

[19] Kheifets A.Ya. Generalized bi-tangential Schur-Nevanlinna-Pick problem and related Parseval equality, Teor. Funkt. Anal. i ih Prilozhen., Kharkov, 54 (1990), 89-96 (Russian).
[20] Kheifets A.Ya. Hamburger moment problem: Parseval equality and A-singularity, J. Funct. Analysis, 141 (1996), 374-420.
[21] Kheifets A.Ya. and Yuditskii P.M. An analysis and extension of V.P. Potapov's approch to interpolation problems with applications to the generalized bi-tangential Schur-Nevanlinna-Pick problem and J-inner-outer factorization, Operator Theory:Adv.Appl., Birkhauser, Basel, 72 (1994), 133-161.
[22] Kovalishina I.V. and Potapov V.P. Indefinite metric in Nevanlinna-Pick problem, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Armjan. SSR, Ser. mat., 9 (1974), no. 1, 3-9.
[23] Kreĭn M.G. On Hermitian operators whith defect indices equal to one, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 43 (1944), no. 8, 339-342.
[24] Kreĭn M.G. and Langer H. , Uber die verallgemeinerten Resolventen und die characteristische Function eines isometrischen Operators im Raume Π_κ, Hilbert space Operators and Operator Algebras (Proc.Intern.Conf.,Tihany, 1970); Colloq.Math.Soc.Janos Bolyai, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 5 (1972), 353-399.
[25] Kreĭn M.G. and Saakyan Sh.N. Some new results in the theory of resolvent matrices of Hermitian operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 169 (1966), no. 1, 657-660.
[26] Kuzhel' S.A. Abstract scattering scheme of Lax and Phillips in Pontryagin spaces, SB MATH, 187 (1996), no. 10, 15031523.
[27] Livšic M.S. On a certain class of linear operators on Hilbert spaces Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 19 (1946), no. 61, 232260 (in Russian)
[28] Potapov V.P. Multiplicative structure of J-nonexpamding matrix functions, Trudy Mosk. Matem. Obsch., 4 (1955), 125-236.
[29] L. Schwartz Sous espaces hilbertiens d'espaces vectoriels topologiques et noyaux associes, J. Analyse Math., 13 (1964), 115-256.
[30] Shmul'yan Yu.L. Theory of linear relations and space with an indefinite metric (Russ.) Funktsion. analiz i ego pril., 10 (1976), no. 1, 67-72.
[31] Shmul'yan Yu.L.Direct and inverse problems for resolvent matrices, Dopov. Akad. Nauk. Ukr. SSR, Ser. A (1970), no. 6, 514-517.
[32] Yuditskij P.M. Lifting problem, Deposited in Ukr. NIINTI 18.04.1983, no. 311-Uk-D83.

Department of Mathematics, Donetsk National University, 24, Universitetskaya Str., 83001 Donetsk

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Vaasa, P.O. Box 700, 65101 Vaasa, Finland

E-mail address: dbaidiuk@uwasa.fi